

## FY12 Agreement Addenda Section III: WIC Deliverable #3 - Provide Access to Program Services for Children

### 3A. Percentage of children less than 12 months of age who were served in the Child Health Clinic and received WIC Program Services\*

Numerator equals the unduplicated count of children less than 1 year of age receiving both CH and WIC services.

Denominator equals the unduplicated count of all children less than 1 year of age receiving CH services.

County/District Health Department	FY02-FY04	FY05-FY07	FY08-FY10	% Change from first 3-year period to most recent 3-year period	FY2010		
	%	%	%		Numerator #	Denominator #	%
Alamance County	78.2%	71.1%	42.1%	-46.1%		1	
Albemarle Regional Health Services	78.7%	69.3%	69.3%	-12.0%			
Alexander County	95.0%	98.1%	95.0%	0.0%	13	15	86.7%
Anson County	97.3%	95.1%	98.3%	1.0%	11	12	91.7%
Appalachian District	85.8%	89.0%	94.2%	9.8%			
Beaufort County							
Bladen County	94.5%	89.4%	96.0%	1.6%	75	78	96.2%
Brunswick County	90.4%	89.0%	90.8%	0.4%	96	112	85.7%
Buncombe County	93.4%	94.2%	91.5%	-2.1%	142	160	88.8%
Burke County	100.0%	100.0%	75.0%	-25.0%	6	9	66.7%
Cabarrus County	84.2%	76.7%	94.0%	11.7%	454	482	94.2%
Caldwell County	90.6%	71.9%	100.0%	10.3%			
Carteret County	66.7%	100.0%					
Caswell County	92.2%	97.5%	97.9%	6.2%	61	61	100.0%
Catawba County	61.1%	60.0%	68.8%	12.5%	2	4	50.0%
Chatham County	72.7%	70.1%	64.3%	-11.6%	34	51	66.7%
Cherokee County	62.5%	64.3%	88.9%	42.2%	4	4	100.0%
Clay County	66.7%	78.3%	90.0%	35.0%	7	7	100.0%
Cleveland County	84.9%	67.1%	88.3%	4.0%	82	96	85.4%
Columbus County	94.7%	90.8%	96.5%	1.9%	22	22	100.0%
Craven County	90.7%	83.3%	83.0%	-8.5%	203	246	82.5%
Cumberland County	65.6%	67.8%	66.8%	1.8%	105	152	69.1%
Dare County	84.1%	81.4%	83.3%	-1.0%	5	7	71.4%
Davidson County	33.3%	50.0%					
Davie County	91.5%	88.3%	91.9%	0.4%	86	93	92.5%
Duplin County	76.3%	84.2%	89.3%	17.0%	163	182	89.6%
Durham County							
Edgecombe County	92.9%	88.4%	72.2%	-22.4%	41	58	70.7%
Forsyth County	83.3%	100.0%	100.0%	20.0%	2	2	100.0%
Franklin County	94.7%	93.0%	94.2%	-0.5%	269	286	94.1%
<b>North Carolina</b>	<b>87.1%</b>	<b>84.8%</b>	<b>87.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7,083</b>	<b>8,070</b>	<b>87.8%</b>

NOTE: FY99-01 data can not be directly compared to the rest of the time period as the process for data collection changed due to the use of CPT codes for billing purposes and because the definition of a child being served in the child health clinic was modified. During FY99-01 the data also included Child Service Coordination services from the health department, but were excluded in the later years. For these reasons, it is recommended to compare the FY05-07 percentage with the FY02-04 and FY07 percentages only. \*If there are no data present, no children were seen in the child health clinic at the local health department for this age group.

## FY12 Agreement Addenda Section III: WIC Deliverable #3 - Provide Access to Program Services for Children

### 3A. Percentage of children less than 12 months of age who were served in the Child Health Clinic and received WIC Program Services\*

Numerator equals the unduplicated count of children less than 1 year of age receiving both CH and WIC services.

Denominator equals the unduplicated count of all children less than 1 year of age receiving CH services.

County/District Health Department	FY02-FY04	FY05-FY07	FY08-FY10	% Change from first 3-year period to most recent 3-year period	FY2010		
	%	%	%		Numerator #	Denominator #	%
Gaston County	87.0%	86.7%	78.4%	-9.8%	534	684	78.1%
Graham County	92.2%	93.5%	94.3%	2.3%	36	36	100.0%
Granville-Vance District	94.5%	90.8%	94.2%	-0.3%	27	28	96.4%
Greene County	96.7%	96.0%	97.1%	0.4%	92	93	98.9%
Guilford County							
Halifax County	61.6%	68.9%	67.6%	9.8%	34	59	57.6%
Harnett County	80.9%	86.3%	84.7%	4.7%	100	119	84.0%
Haywood County	82.4%	66.7%	80.0%	-2.9%	3	3	100.0%
Henderson County	89.1%	86.4%	85.0%	-4.6%			
Hertford County	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	1	1	100.0%
Hoke County	96.8%	96.6%	96.2%	-0.6%	164	168	97.6%
Hyde County	87.5%	100.0%	95.0%	8.6%	4	5	80.0%
Iredell County	88.1%	82.3%	93.4%	6.1%	276	289	95.5%
Jackson County	60.0%	40.0%	50.0%	-16.7%			
Johnston County	75.8%	69.7%	67.3%	-11.2%	169	255	66.3%
Jones County	87.1%	94.0%	98.1%	12.6%	17	17	100.0%
Lee County	100.0%	96.4%	100.0%	0.0%			
Lenoir County	98.1%	74.8%	55.6%	-43.3%	26	52	50.0%
Lincoln County	61.1%	46.2%	63.2%	3.3%	4	5	80.0%
Macon County	79.3%	82.1%	92.3%	16.4%	1	1	100.0%
Madison County	92.6%	100.0%	92.7%	0.1%	11	12	91.7%
Martin-Tyrrell-Washington District	96.0%	96.6%	95.3%	-0.8%	130	132	98.5%
Mecklenburg County		16.7%	53.3%		4	6	66.7%
Montgomery County	96.2%	99.1%	99.3%	3.2%	37	38	97.4%
Moore County	92.1%	95.0%	93.5%	1.6%			
Nash County	93.6%	88.8%	89.7%	-4.2%	209	225	92.9%
New Hanover County	100.0%	63.6%	63.6%	-36.4%	4	7	57.1%
Northampton County	94.5%	95.3%	95.8%	1.4%	40	40	100.0%
Onslow County	86.4%	80.4%	88.9%	2.9%	10	11	90.9%
Orange County	79.4%	84.5%	87.1%	9.6%	79	86	91.9%
<b>North Carolina</b>	<b>87.1%</b>	<b>84.8%</b>	<b>87.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7,083</b>	<b>8,070</b>	<b>87.8%</b>

NOTE: FY99-01 data can not be directly compared to the rest of the time period as the process for data collection changed due to the use of CPT codes for billing purposes and because the definition of a child being served in the child health clinic was modified. During FY99-01 the data also included Child Service Coordination services from the health department, but were excluded in the later years. For these reasons, it is recommended to compare the FY05-07 percentage with the FY02-04 and FY07 percentages only. \*If there are no data present, no children were seen in the child health clinic at the local health department for this age group.

## FY12 Agreement Addenda Section III: WIC Deliverable #3 - Provide Access to Program Services for Children

### 3A. Percentage of children less than 12 months of age who were served in the Child Health Clinic and received WIC Program Services\*

Numerator equals the unduplicated count of children less than 1 year of age receiving both CH and WIC services.

Denominator equals the unduplicated count of all children less than 1 year of age receiving CH services.

County/District Health Department	FY02-FY04	FY05-FY07	FY08-FY10	% Change from first 3-year period to most recent 3-year period	FY2010		
	%	%	%		Numerator #	Denominator #	%
Pamlico County	84.1%	74.3%	100.0%	18.9%	3	3	100.0%
Pender County	76.3%	82.9%	81.7%	7.1%	176	211	83.4%
Person County	87.3%	88.2%	89.7%	2.7%	1	2	50.0%
Pitt County	86.7%	85.7%	100.0%	15.4%			
Randolph County	89.2%	87.2%	90.5%	1.4%	8	8	100.0%
Richmond County							
Robeson County	91.3%	89.9%	86.6%	-5.1%	202	236	85.6%
Rockingham County	79.0%	81.3%	92.7%	17.4%	84	91	92.3%
Rowan County	73.3%	79.6%	61.1%	-16.6%	6	8	75.0%
Rutherford-Polk-McDowell District	96.8%	91.4%	96.3%	-0.5%	30	31	96.8%
Sampson County	80.0%	91.7%	80.0%	0.0%	6	6	100.0%
Scotland County	83.3%						
Stanly County	100.0%	100.0%	90.9%	-9.1%	3	3	100.0%
Stokes County	90.8%	93.4%	86.5%	-4.7%	14	17	82.4%
Surry County	88.2%	82.8%	81.0%	-8.2%	149	189	78.8%
Swain County	81.3%						
Toe River District	83.9%	91.9%	96.1%	14.6%	38	38	100.0%
Transylvania County	20.0%	38.5%	100.0%	400.0%	1	1	100.0%
Union County	91.3%	87.1%	88.0%	-3.6%	495	555	89.2%
Wake County	85.6%	83.1%	87.9%	2.7%	1,588	1,768	89.8%
Warren County	98.7%	97.4%	98.5%	-0.1%	59	60	98.3%
Wayne County	89.5%	95.5%	81.8%	-8.6%			
Wilkes County	88.8%	88.4%	92.9%	4.6%	70	72	97.2%
Wilson County	99.0%	99.1%	99.6%	0.7%	130	130	100.0%
Yadkin County	98.7%	98.6%	97.9%	-0.8%	125	129	96.9%

<b>North Carolina</b>	<b>87.1%</b>	<b>84.8%</b>	<b>87.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7,083</b>	<b>8,070</b>	<b>87.8%</b>
-----------------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	-------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

NOTE: FY99-01 data can not be directly compared to the rest of the time period as the process for data collection changed due to the use of CPT codes for billing purposes and because the definition of a child being served in the child health clinic was modified. During FY99-01 the data also included Child Service Coordination services from the health department, but were excluded in the later years. For these reasons, it is recommended to compare the FY05-07 percentage with the FY02-04 and FY07 percentages only. \*If there are no data present, no children were seen in the child health clinic at the local health department for this age group.

**FY12 Agreement Addenda Section III: WIC Deliverable #3 - Provide Access to Program Services for Children**

**3A. Percentage of children less than 12 months of age who were served in the Child Health Clinic and received WIC Program Services\***

Numerator equals the unduplicated count of children less than 1 year of age receiving both CH and WIC services.

Denominator equals the unduplicated count of all children less than 1 year of age receiving CH services.

County/District Health Department	FY02-FY04	FY05-FY07	FY08-FY10	% Change from first 3-year period to most recent 3-year period	FY2010		
	%	%	%		Numerator #	Denominator #	%
Alleghany	90.0%	90.7%	95.5%	6.1%			
Ashe	88.2%	92.1%	94.5%	7.1%			
Avery	100.0%	100.0%	94.7%	-5.3%	29	29	100.0%
Bertie	81.7%	53.8%	44.8%	-45.1%			
Camden	76.9%	57.7%	66.7%	-13.3%			
Chowan	89.2%	81.0%	77.8%	-12.8%			
Currituck	93.1%	85.8%	85.3%	-8.4%			
Gates	90.9%	91.7%	87.5%	-3.8%			
Granville	93.6%	92.0%	94.8%	1.2%	18	19	94.7%
Martin	94.1%	91.9%	91.7%	-2.6%	21	22	95.5%
McDowell	100.0%	85.7%	94.4%	-5.6%	4	5	80.0%
Mitchell	93.1%	93.1%	100.0%	7.4%	1	1	100.0%
Pasquotank	70.0%	61.8%	65.7%	-6.1%			
Perquimans	84.5%	81.7%	89.2%	5.5%			
Polk	85.7%	88.2%	100.0%	16.7%	3	3	100.0%
Rutherford	97.5%	92.1%	96.5%	-1.1%	23	23	100.0%
Tyrrell	95.6%	96.1%	92.6%	-3.1%	41	42	97.6%
Vance	96.1%	88.7%	93.2%	-3.0%	9	9	100.0%
Washington	96.7%	99.2%	98.3%	1.7%	68	68	100.0%
Watauga	74.3%	79.0%	80.0%	7.6%			
Yancey	79.4%	88.6%	100.0%	26.0%	8	8	100.0%

<b>North Carolina</b>	<b>87.1%</b>	<b>84.8%</b>	<b>87.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7,083</b>	<b>8,070</b>	<b>87.8%</b>
-----------------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	-------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

NOTE: FY99-01 data can not be directly compared to the rest of the time period as the process for data collection changed due to the use of CPT codes for billing purposes and because the definition of a child being served in the child health clinic was modified. During FY99-01 the data also included Child Service Coordination services from the health department, but were excluded in the later years. For these reasons, it is recommended to compare the FY05-07 percentage with the FY02-04 and FY07 percentages only. \*If there are no data present, no children were seen in the child health clinic at the local health department for this age group.